



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/624,165

07/21/2003

Tiet Pham

100.554US01

6359

34206

7590

05/13/2009

FOGG & POWERS LLC

5810 W 78TH STREET

SUITE 100

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55439

EXAMINER

TO, JENNIFER N

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2195

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/13/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DAVID@FOGGLAW.COM

DOCKETING@FOGGLAW.COM

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/624,165	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PHAM, TIET	
	<b>Examiner</b> JENNIFER N. TO	<b>Art Unit</b> 2195	

**All Participants:**

 (1) JENNIFER N. TO.

 (2) JON M. POWERS.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 7 May 2009
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

4, 12, 20

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The definition of "burst mode" as recited in claims 4, 12, and 20 was discussed. Applicant points to paragraph [0019] of the specification to define "burst mode" as the mode in which the service is enable for execution a predetermined number of times and then disabled. As such, examiner will interpreted the "burst mode" as applicant defined.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

